

City of Lake Lotawana

Board of Aldermen Meeting Minutes

January 17, 2012

I. Call to order

Mayor, Howard Chamberlin, called to order the meeting of the Board of Aldermen at 7:00 pm on January 17, 2012 in the Board Chambers of the Municipal Building.

II. Roll call

City Clerk, Rhonda Littrell, conducted a roll call.

Mayor Howard Chamberlin - Present

Alderman David Welsh – Present

Alderman Scott Miles – Present

Alderman Terry Reed – Present

Alderman Carl Calcara – Present

Alderman David Needles – Present

Alderman Chuck Falkenberg – Present

City Clerk, Rhonda Littrell, declared a quorum was present for the meeting to proceed.

III. Approval of Minutes – Regular Meeting December 20, 2011

Alderman Welsh made a motion to approve the December 20, 2011 regular meeting minutes as submitted. The motion was seconded by Alderman Miles. The minutes were approved as submitted with the following votes:

Alderman Welsh – Aye

Alderman Miles – Aye

Alderman Reed – Aye

Alderman Calcara - Aye

Alderman Needles – Aye

Alderman Falkenberg – Aye

IV. Consideration Of December 2011 Financials

Alderman Falkenberg presented the financial report to the Board. The year ended on the black side which is very good. The budget has been set. Everything in on the positive side of the financials.

V. Approval of Disbursements for December 11, 2011 thru January 10, 2012

Alderman Falkenberg made a motion to approve disbursements from the General Fund in the amount of \$138,156.68, Check Numbers 38142 thru 38188. The motion was seconded by Alderman Needles. Alderman Falkenberg added the reason that is much higher than the average is we had the MOPERM payment in the amount of \$51,000 that was included in that. The General Fund disbursements were approved with the following votes:

Alderman Welsh – Aye

Alderman Miles – Aye

Alderman Reed – Aye

Alderman Calcara - Aye

Alderman Needles – Aye

Alderman Falkenberg – Aye

Alderman Falkenberg made a motion to approve disbursements from the Sewer Fund in the amount of \$133,848.85, Check Numbers 24588 thru 24624. The motion was

seconded by Alderman Calcara. Alderman Falkenberg stated that there was one that was different than the ordinary monthly flow. That was to J&N Utilities for the sewer repairs that took place at F3/4 in the amount of \$24,000. Alderman Miles asked if the City had a credit card with Home Depot. The response was yes. The Sewer Fund disbursements were approved with the following votes:

Alderman Welsh – Aye

Alderman Calcara - Ay

Alderman Miles – Aye

Alderman Needles – Aye

Alderman Reed – Aye

Alderman Falkenberg – Aye

VI. Department Reports:

- A) The Administration report was presented by City Clerk, Rhonda Littrell. Met with Bukaty companies regarding the Humana Health Insurance. With the 8% increase in the budget for the health insurance we can keep the current coverage we have. Plans from 3 other health insurance companies were presented. Comparing the benefits with the costs, the current insurance provides the best coverage for the premium rates. Representatives from Bukaty and Humana are going to come out Mid-February to present to the employees how we can take and have a part in lowering the healthcare costs thus being able to maintain the level of coverage we currently have. Candidate filing for office opened on December 13, 2011, and there has been 1 person from each ward file – all incumbents. Business/Liquor licenses – In December 2011: a total of 38 was issued, of which 35 is for 2012; total revenue was \$4,185.00. Compared to December of 2010: a total of 70 was issued, of which 60 were for 2011; total revenue was \$8,385.00.
- B) The Planning & Zoning report was presented by Frank Davis. For the period 12-12-11 through 1-10-12 the Inspections Division completed 104 site visits, 32 property inspections, issued 14 new permits, closed 9 permits, issued 2 stop work orders and resolved 1 stop work orders. As of 1-17-12 there are 60 open permits which include 5 sewer repair permits. Cumulative totals for the Inspections Division from May 25,2011 through 1-10-12: 579 site visits, 181 property inspections, 40 stop work orders issued, 4 processed complaints for weeds, 157 new permits issued. Mr. Gary Pipes has formally withdrawn his application to annex into the City of Lake Lotawana and is requesting a refund of his \$1,000 application fee. We believe that most of that fee if not all of it is administrative in origin. He has requested a breakdown of what the City's cost were. The question of whether or not we refund the application fee needs to be answered. The department has not received any new plan sets for new construction for the reporting period included herein. Issues that the department has interacted with the city Attorney on are the proposed addition to the City Code Book for licensing industrial uses within the city (pending Planning Commission Review). There are not issues in which the department is involved that are in any stage of litigation.
- C) The Public Works report was presented by Keith Herzberg. The Association has poured the driveway at F-3. The City's employees have replaced the concrete steps in the front yard and seeded the front yard. FTC Equipment will start to install the dialers for our lift stations this Thursday. Would like to thank Dean

Martin for building and installing our hand rail on our sidewalk. Alderman Needles, Frank Davis and I had a conference call with Phil Walsack. We discussed the DNR AOC agreement. He has been through this before. He gave us some insight as to what we need to take, what we should be looking for and I think he was really helpful.

- D) The Police Department report presented by Jeff Rogers. Storm sirens: I met with Bruce Fisher of Blue Valley Public Safety. He saw no problem with site chosen for the new siren. There is easy access for his crew and equipment. I also met with Fire Chief Bill Large. We discussed the timeline for siren approval as well as the draft agreement for installation and maintenance. He expects his board to approve it next month. New car: I would like to order a new car to replace the 2006 Impala as soon as you decide it is fiscally responsible to do so. The officers have agreed they would like to have a Ford Interceptor Utility with all wheel drive. I agree with them that this would be the best all around vehicle for sue throughout the city considering lake roads as well as highways. The approximate cost is \$26,500. Alderman Miles asked if any of the officers have had a chance to drive test this vehicle. Chief Rogers responded – They haven't, I've had the opportunity to see it. Alderman Miles asked if there was any chance any of the officers could drive it before the city bought it since they are the ones using it. Chief Rogers responded – If they have another one in the area. They do not typically stock them, but I will see if they have one in the area so the guys can test drive it. Mayor Chamberlin asked if we knew of anyone who is buying one. Chief Rogers responded – Lone Jack has one on order. The ones currently on order will not be delivered until March. There aren't any in the area other than if there happens to be one at a dealer. Alderman Miles asked if this was something we could wait on until after Lone Jack gets theirs so that the guys could go over there to test it. Chief Rogers responded – Sure, however he would check to see if any dealers in the area had one first. Alderman Miles asked about the status of the emergency management. Chief Rogers responded – We're waiting for an email from the fire chief of Prairie Township. Alderman Welsh – I was wondering the same question. Based on what was discussed at the meeting last month I was under the impression that we would have heard from them by now. We need to move this along. I would like to get this in place before the end of March at the latest. Alderman Reed had something he wanted to discuss – There was a weapon discharge back in, I think it was back in September 2010 over by the Yacht Club. It resulted in a charge being a filed against a Lake resident about a weapon being a discharged. That was a long time ago. The White family who owns the house that received the damage, they have been patiently waiting for some kind of resolution in our court system. As I understand it they received a guilty plea in our court system here that was then appealed to County. Our prosecutor withdrew the charges at the County level. Apparently according to our own prosecutor, our ordinance is flawed in a way that makes it if it is accidental damage to property, that guilty cannot really be established. I would like us to make an effort to review that ordinance and see if we agree our prosecutor's interpretation of that. If that is the case, I think we need to revisit that and maybe

amend that. Chief Rogers added – I think the prosecutor’s two main issues were, he believed that it would be difficult to establish intent to cause the damage in that there is the belief that the guy discharged the weapon for no purpose and the damage was incidental. The other issue was that in the prosecutor’s opinion was if the case should go forward in appeals court and the City lose, the way it was phrased left the impression that they would then be barred from obtaining civil damages in court or at least make it more difficult to obtain civil damages for their loss.

VII. Public Speaks

Dave Wagner of G-4 addressed the Mayor and Board of Alderman – My question is about the fees has to do with some conversation in a work session that I attended. In it Rhonda had given us an account of the number of people whose sewer lines had been disconnected. It just seemed to be inappropriate to ask her at the time, how is that done? City Clerk Rhonda answered – If you get 30 days behind on your sewer bill, you are sent a pink disconnect card. That tells you the amount you have to pay to keep your water from being turned off by the water department which we have an agreement with. If you do not have the payment in by the time that it states on the pink disconnect card, then your water will be turned off by the water company. There is a sign that is posted in the yard that states that your house is in habitable due to no water service due to non-payment of sewer bill. It also states how much you have to pay to get your water turned back on. Besides the bill there is some fees and things that goes along with it. Mr. Wagner asked if there were late fees for the sewer bills. Rhonda responded that there is a 5% late fee of any unpaid balance each month. Most of this is in reference to an article that you wrote in the paper Mr. Mayor about the Federal Government and congressmen getting some treatment different from what the rest of us get. I can’t help but also notice that at the meeting we were discussing the \$40,000 that Mr. Barber owes us for the tipping fees. I was wondering how much we’re charging Mr. Barber per month for not paying his bill and how are we going about collecting it. Mayor Chamberlin responded – It is all wrapped up in the next discussion which is the asphalt plants. Mr. Wagner – Oh, we’re not collecting it then? Mayor Chamberlin – It’s not that we’re not collecting. He is not paying it subject to us getting these asphalt plants and the plants that are in the quarry permitted. We haven’t filed suit against him. If we have to, will that will be our next move if he doesn’t pay. Right now his position is, he will pay us just a soon as we get it worked out for the plants that we have issued business licenses for in 2011. Alderman Miles brought up the question if there are late fees mentioned in the original agreement that was signed years ago. Mayor Chamberlin – When I read it I never saw any type of if you don’t pay. If I remember correctly he was to pay 4 times a year. He moved to paying monthly many years ago when the city was really running tight on money. He paid through November of 2010. He hasn’t paid anything since then because we, in his mind we have not yet permitted these plants. Mr. Wagner – I would like for the Board to consider that people with businesses in our community be treated the same as our citizens. When you don’t pay your bill there is a penalty for it. Otherwise what is in it for Barber to even pay his bill? We are out \$40,000 and I don’t think the City can afford it. If he is not going to pay, then we are going to have to go to court fine, but there has to be a penalty for it. He has

to be treated the same as our citizens. Alderman Welsh commented – The tipping fee was created by ordinance. I don't know if in the ordinance it has a penalty written into it. If it doesn't that is where we have to address the point you're making. Mr. Wagner – There is a general ordinance about the general collection of fees and thing like that. That is in our city code that would be generic but since it is there, it should cover it. It certainly would give them a reason to settle, right now they have no reason to settle at all and we need the money.

Mayor Chamberlin commented – The Planning & Zoning Commission will meet on February 17th. They will discuss the draft of the special use permit ordinance. We are trying to figure out exactly where Idecker sets, whether they are in the overlay district or the Quarry. No official action will be taken until after the Planning & Zoning meeting and then we will have a full Board meeting to discuss this potential ordinance that we have tabled over the course of time.

Mike Morlan of N-27 addressed the Mayor and Board of Aldermen. When is the Planning & Zoning meeting you are referring to? The reply was the meeting would be the Thursday prior to the February 21st Board of Aldermen meeting which would be the 16th and would be here at City Hall at 7:00 pm. Alderman Calcara commented that a Public Hearing will be held at that P&Z meeting. Mr. Morlan – I was here a couple of meetings last summer related to the asphalt and concrete plants and the Barber Quarry situation. My understanding was that there was an agreement that the Idecker plant could stay where it was until the end of the year, December 31. Driving by two or three days ago the plant is still there. It doesn't seem like that agreement was followed through on or upheld. My first thing is why aren't we enforcing the agreement/contract that we had. Hearing some things is the last day or two; it seems that is being held as "I will pay you what I owe you, if you let me do something else." I just think that that is a real dangerous precedent. The next time he owes us 40 grand, he'll want something else until it gets paid. Frankly a person with his resources, fining him \$100 or slapping a 5% penalty on it, that's not enough monetary difference to put him in compliance. It is better than doing nothing. I don't understand why if he hasn't paid why doesn't he get 30 days notice and we pull his permit or shut down his quarry. Do something that actually hurts him and hits him in the wallet more than just give him more stuff so that he'll do what you said he was going to do all along. Before we know it there is going to a whole industrial high polluting park over there. It isn't worth it and that is the point I made before. The City needs revenue and that is how this is looked at as a revenue increaser. We could do without some services, pay more or whatever but to view the Barber Quarry as the golden goose so that we can have the dust, pollution, noise, and possible risk to this lake, then find out he doesn't even pay what he is supposed to unless you give me more. That is exactly what he is doing to you guys. That is what he is doing to all of us. Unless you give me more then I'll pay you what I already owe you. If that is the case, why won't he do the same thing next year? I would just like to know what are we going to do about an agreement that is not two weeks past and still running. Everything is going just fine apparently for Mr. Barber's operation. What is the plan to get what is owed us and to keep him in line, not letting him expand? Alderman Needles asked if Mr. Morlan if he had opposition to the asphalt operating there. Mr. Morlan – That was the agreement this Board negotiated with him,

as of December 31, 2011 it was supposed to either shut down or moved down into the pit. I was expecting to see sometime in December to see it disassembled or moved. That obviously hasn't happened. Mayor Chamberlin – Every year until 2011 when this Board decided that it is time to make those operating asphalt and concrete plants get more than just \$100 business license and allow them to be there. That is what had been happening prior to 2011. We had/have to figure out who is grandfathered. There appears that there is a least two that are grandfathered. The Board in 2011 decided to change that. Try to get enacted a special use permit but we had to ascertain whether or not they had a grandfather status and/or what their status if any. We were then faced with Idecker being told they could be in the pit and was actually put where they were to be. Then that got move up to the corner on the theory that they couldn't be in the pit with the three other entities there with the Quarry. At that point in time we were still wrestling with getting some sort of special use permit enacted to even give them a proper you can be here. That is where we are today and that is what we are going to be talking about in February during a public meeting. I do agree with you that they agreement with Idecker is that they were not to work past last year December 31st. I, as Mayor intend to make sure that they don't work into 2012 even if I have to send the Chief of Police down there. If I have that power, that is exactly what I am going to do. I think it does behoove us to establish a special use permit instead of just issuing a \$100 business license. We are getting together all that we need to figure out where everybody is and issue pursuant to an ordinance we never had a special use permit to those who should get one. In that there will be a discussion on whether or not we would then even if we would entertain Idecker being there another year. They have not been operating since December 31st. Alderman Welsh – In the letter that Idecker signed that they would quite or leave as of December 31st, says “unless otherwise extension granted and/or permit business license issued.” Have they applied for a 2012 business license? Mayor Chamberlin – They did apply for a 2012 business license but we have not issued one. Aldermen Miles – My concern is just because we have that contract and they don't abide by that contract, we may have to go the litigation route. I want that information to be known to the public and to be discussed when you go through this meeting that Frank is going to have. There are other ramifications and other considerations that need to be taken in this whole picture. If the public says yes, we want him gone and it is going to cost us \$100,000 to go the litigation route to enforce that, which is part of equation. Mr. Wagner – I remember being here when you all talked about it and that what Mr. Welsh read was not in what you guys talked about in the meeting. When was that added? Mr. Welsh – I guess when the Mayor met with Idecker to sign it. Mr. Wagner – That was not what the Board had agreed on, there was no exception in what the Board voted on. Alderman Welsh – It was not so much of an exception as it was an avenue for Idecker to extend or apply to extend past December 31st. Mr. Wagner – We misunderstood, we were not aware that there were any loopholes or anything for him to extend this. We understood that he was going to be gone. The Mayor was very good about telling us that he worked real hard for that agreement. Mayor Chamberlin – It is still what it is. We simply don't have to grant them anything. We have not granted them anything. The fact is there maybe some discussion if it makes economical sense if we can find a place for them in Quarry overlay to put them. That is the purgative of this Board to sit here and discuss that. If I

have the power as the Mayor, I will have the Chief of Police to go out there and they are not going to operate until this Board makes a decision as to where they can and cannot operate. Alderman Miles – My opinion is, if the community wants these guys gone there maybe some additional costs that we may have to incur to enforce that through some sort of legal road. Mr. Morlan – I think you answered may question regarding the status of the asphalt plant. Is there any comment on the \$40,000 that is owed? It sure comes across like, you will get your money when you do this for me type of thing. Mayor Chamberlin – From my standpoint of collecting fees, we do need to make sure that we are issuing permits to businesses that are working. We have not issued any permits except a temporary to allow the concrete plant to license their trucks. We need to get enacted an ordinance. We have just simply been operating under, here is you piece of paper and for \$100 you get to go ahead and work over there. From our standpoint we need to get this done, no question about it. I don't think there is any penalty in the fact that he doesn't pay us, simply in the agreement that we can sue on. Right now, I think if we can get this done in February. We can get the permits issued that should be issued, I feel certain we will see a check.

VIII. Aldermen Concerns

Alderman Needles – I want to ask Chief Rogers about this letter you wrote to the Wagner's about you are going to take a report from her. Chief Rogers – We offered to make a report. Alderman Needles – Did you do it? Chief Rogers responded no. Alderman Needles asked, are you going to do it. Chief Rogers stated that if they would like to have one made, yes. Alderman Needles – I think it would be improper for you to do this. We talked about this when you came back from leave, you having anything to do with the investigation on her allegations and you said you would not. Chief Rogers – We can have anybody take the report. What we have done is to offer as a police department to make a report should they desire one. Alderman Needles – I am not real comfortable with it for a couple of reasons. I want an outside agency to do the report. Mr. Wagner – Mr. Needles this is not an issue. We have already made a report to the City and we have a recording of it. We are proceeding on with that and we are not going to be bothering the Chief anymore so it is a non-issue. Alderman Needles – Who did you make the report with? Mr. Wagner – Officer Miller and everyone is aware of that. It is a dead issue, he doesn't need to worry about it; it is being handled in civil court. Alderman Needles – It says in here you have learned that the report was not made; I am getting two stories here. So you have offered to take this report? Chief Rogers – We have offered to make a written report of his information. Alderman Needles – Why would you do it again if it has already been done? Chief Rogers – No report was made previously. He orally reported information to Officer Miller. Officer Mille subsequently wrote not written report about it. We are offering to make a written report about the incident. Alderman Needles – I would be comfortable with the Highway Patrol doing it instead of you. Chief Rogers – I would feel much better about that too but it would be just a matter of rather or not they would do that. Mayor Chamberlin – The person that Chief Rogers wrote the letter to, tonight they said that it is a dead issue, if they change their mind, we will use someone else than our department to take the report. The decision was made that if they want to file a report, Chief Rogers will suggest they take it to a different agency through the Mayor.

Alderman Welsh – I want to talk a little about the budget to amplify what Alderman Falkenberg reported. I want to commend the staff for bringing their individual budgets in on budget. The only budget that was way over was the operations budget to cover some legal expenses. Overall the City brought in revenue almost \$120,000 more than what we anticipated. We under spent what was anticipated by about \$16,500. For the year the City has a surplus of \$140,000. I will be setting up a meeting with the Mayor, the Treasurer, and the City Clerk to discuss what I am proposing we put into place which is establishing a capital fund. The City Clerk has already been putting money into a surplus fund. This is the City operating budget we are talking about and we are not talking about the Sewer Fund budget. The City needs a capital fund from which expenditures such a police car or something that is a onetime expenditure. We will put some of the city's surplus money into it in order to be able to create a balance between what is appropriate amount of money to go into that fund versus appropriate amount of money that the City Clerk feels is a safe surplus for a rainy day situation for operating. I would like to add the concerns I have about the tipping fees for 2011 not coming in. I am really concerned that we are allowing that situation to continue. I don't like it being tied into the fact that the city is in the process of creating an ordinance for special use permit within the Quarry. The Quarry is an operation that is going to be going for another 14 years. We are not doing a very good job by allowing the owner of the quarry to get away with not paying the bill he owes us. I want to commend Dean Martin on that railing out front. He did a great job and it really enhanced the safety of City Hall. Thank you very much, Dean Martin.

Alderman Reed – I would like to continue with the discussion of the Quarry. We are trying to pin down the exact location of the Idecker asphalt plant. Today I visited a company called Phoenix Engineering. Based on a drawing that Frank provided me and the accuracy of that, Todd Poke was able to give us basically a free estimate of the location of the facility. Based upon his measurements, they are within the overlay of the Quarry. I want to go on record that I think it is high time that we enforce our collection codes. We have an ordinance that says we can fine up to \$500 per day to any violation of the City ordinance. I don't see why we are not taking the ordinance that we already have in effect. If in fact the tipping fee was established by ordinance, I think there is linkage that we can apply right now for \$500 per day. The Mayor stated that he would have the City Attorney review that and if it fits we will send out a letter.

IX. Mayor's Comments

I want to address the fact that this Board and the different departments have over the course of my three year of being here, have done an excellent job. Each year there has been a surplus and we have a rather nice substantial surplus in this City right now. It was mentioned tonight that our employee plan for hospitalization is probably the best there is compared to other cities. It is excellent, it probably cost the city more, but we haven't had to cut and we haven't cut and we are able to maintain an excellent plan. That is because the people sit up here and watch what we do. I was most happy to see that at the end of the year, it has been a very good year on the premise of us not going over budget and not spending more than what our income was.

X. Unfinished Business

- a. First Reading of Bill 1047 amending exhibit 410-A and Chapter 440 of the Municipal Code of the City of Lake Lotawana, Missouri regarding supplemental conditions and business regulations for asphalt plants (tabled at the December 20, 2011 meeting).**

Alderman Calcara made a motion to table the First Reading of Bill 1047 until the Planning and Zoning Commission makes a recommendation and sends it back to the Board. The motion was seconded by Alderman Needles. There will be public forum that night that people will be notified of by a legal notice in the paper, notification of property owners as outlined by our codes, notification of the businesses inside the Quarry overlay district, and we will put something on the website. That night the same guidelines will be used that is used in Public Speaks. First Reading of Bill 1047 was tabled with the following votes:

Alderman Welsh – Aye	Alderman Calcara – Aye
Alderman Miles – Aye	Alderman Needles – Aye
Alderman Reed – Aye	Alderman Falkenberg - Aye

XI. New Business

- a. First Reading of Bill 1052 an Ordinance revising Chapter 500 Section 500.080 – Plan submittals.**

Alderman Welsh made a motion to approve Bill 1052 as submitted. The motion was seconded by Alderman Calcara. The First Reading of Bill 1052 was approved with the following votes:

Alderman Welsh – Aye	Alderman Calcara - Aye
Alderman Miles – Aye	Alderman Needles – Aye
Alderman Reed – Aye	Alderman Falkenberg – Aye

- b. Bill 1053 a Resolution approving a settlement agreement and release with the owners of F-3.**

Alderman Welsh made a motion to approve Bill 1053 as submitted, adopting Resolution 12-01. The motion was seconded by Alderman Miles. This is to approve the agreement to be released from past damages at F-3 which once it is signed payment will be made for the portion of the expense that the home owner had agreed to pay. Resolution 12-01 was adopted with the following votes:

Alderman Welsh – Aye	Alderman Calcara - Aye
Alderman Miles – Aye	Alderman Needles – Aye
Alderman Reed – Aye	Alderman Falkenberg – Aye

- c. First Reading of Bill 1054 an Ordinance amending the City Code for the definition of height of building defined.**

Aldermen Calcara made a motion to approve the First Reading of Bill 1054 as submitted. The motion was seconded by Alderman Miles. Alderman Welsh – I am not in favor of this particular ordinance as it is currently written. I feel that the rule as it is currently proposed as it applies to the kind of houses that get built around the lake, on hills, is unrealistic. I feel to measure the height of the house along the side of the house creates a condition for architects and homeowners is

too arbitrary given the situation that exists in the City to request variances. I asked an architect how this rule could be written in a way in which it would provide some leeway for the homeowners to have a position that would give the Board of Adjustment room to be able to consider a situation that is specific for their particular situation. There are a lot of houses that get built around the lake that are on hills and narrow lots which creates an architectural challenge. I don't disagree that a rule is needed and there's a possibility of something to big getting built. There are different ways of doing the rule such as how many stories, walk out basement, etc. I personally would request that should not pass that it would go back to the P&Z committee. When the rule is so iron clad written, which this is, it is very hard for a homeowner or builder to present a condition that would provide the possibility of being granted a variance. I realize that I am presenting a situation that I don't want it as black and white as this is stated. I feel that there is way to do it. One architect I talked to spoke to said you could have it a lean 35 feet so that you could work with the length of the lot in order to achieve a height that works for that particular lot and to arbitrarily assign it 35 feet from one end of the to the other, I just feel is just too black and white. I understand that there needs to some type of guidelines for the department to deal with. There needs to be a little more wiggle room is what I am saying. Alderman Calcara – I have talked to several builders. They would just like to know what they are working with. We do have the variance board in place. The fact is that not too many builders showed up that were concerned about this; I'm really thinking that it is not going to be a big problem. The First Reading of Bill 1054 was approved with the following votes:

Alderman Welsh – Nay	Alderman Calcara - Aye
Alderman Miles – Aye	Alderman Needles – Aye
Alderman Reed – Nay	Alderman Falkenberg – Aye

d. First and Second Reading of Bill 1055 an Ordinance approving change order number one for J&N Utilities for sanitary sewer collection system repair.

Alderman Welsh made a motion to approve the First Reading of Bill 1055 as submitted. The motion was seconded by Alderman Needles. The First Reading of Bill 1055 approved with the following votes:

Alderman Welsh – Aye	Alderman Calcara - Aye
Alderman Miles – Aye	Alderman Needles – Aye
Alderman Reed – Aye	Alderman Falkenberg – Aye

Alderman Welsh made a motion to approve the Second Reading of Bill 1055 as submitted. The motion was seconded by Alderman Needles. The Second Reading of Bill 1055 was approved with the following votes:

Alderman Welsh – Aye	Alderman Calcara - Aye
Alderman Miles – Aye	Alderman Needles – Aye
Alderman Reed – Aye	Alderman Falkenberg – Aye

Alderman Welsh made a motion to adopt Bill 1055 as Ordinance 942. The motion was seconded by Alderman Needles. Ordinance 942 was adopted with the following votes:

Alderman Welsh – Aye

Alderman Miles – Aye

Alderman Reed – Aye

Alderman Calcara - Aye

Alderman Needles – Aye

Alderman Falkenberg – Aye

XII. A vote to close the meeting pursuant to Section 610.021.1, 610.021.3, and 610.021.13, RSMo.

The Board did not go into closed meeting

XIII. Adjournment

Alderman Calcara made a motion to adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded by Alderman Miles. The meeting was adjourned at 8:45 pm with the following votes:

Alderman Welsh – Aye

Alderman Miles – Aye

Alderman Reed – Aye

Alderman Calcara - Aye

Alderman Needles – Aye

Alderman Falkenberg – Aye

Minutes submitted by: _____
City Clerk Rhonda Littrell

Minutes approved by: _____
Mayor Howard Chamberlin